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Brane cosmology presents many interesting possibilities including: phantom acceleration ($w < -1$), self-acceleration, unification of dark energy with inflation, transient acceleration, loitering cosmology, new singularities at which the Hubble parameter remains finite, cosmic mimicry, etc. The existence of a time-like extra dimension can result in a singularity-free cyclic cosmology.

It gives us great pleasure to write this paper on the occasion of Sergei Odintsov’s fiftieth birthday. Sergei has written many excellent papers over the past several decades, and we hope that he will write an equal number in the coming 50 years!

1. INTRODUCTION

Considerable evidence points to a universe that is accelerating [1]. Although the cosmological constant $\Lambda$ provides conceptually the simplest explanation of cosmic acceleration, its enigmatically small value has led researchers to explore alternative avenues for generating an accelerating universe [2–4]. In this paper, we shall confine our attention to brane cosmology described by the fairly general action [5, 6]

$$S = M^3 \left[ \int_{\text{bulk}} (\mathcal{R} - 2\Lambda_b) - 2 \int_{\text{brane}} K \right] + \int_{\text{brane}} \left( m^2 R - 2\sigma \right) + \int_{\text{brane}} L(h_{ab}, \phi) .$$  (1.1)

Here, $\mathcal{R}$ is the scalar curvature of the metric $g_{ab}$ in the five-dimensional bulk, and $R$ is the scalar curvature of the induced metric $h_{ab}$ on the brane. The brane is considered to be a boundary of the bulk space, $K$ is the trace of the extrinsic curvature tensor of the brane, and $L(h_{ab}, \phi)$ denotes the Lagrangian density of the four-dimensional matter fields $\phi$ whose dynamics is restricted to the brane. $M$ and $m$ denote, respectively, the five-dimensional and four-dimensional Planck masses, $\Lambda_b$ is the five-dimensional (bulk) cosmological constant, and $\sigma$ is the brane tension.
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Action (1.1) leads to the following cosmological evolution equation on the brane [5–7]:

\[ m^4 \left( H^2 + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} - \frac{\rho + \sigma}{3m^2} \right)^2 = \epsilon M^6 \left( H^2 + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} - \frac{\Lambda_b}{6} - \frac{C}{a^4} \right), \]  

(1.2)

where \( \epsilon = 1 \) if the extra dimension is space-like, and \( \epsilon = -1 \) if it is time-like, \( C \) is an integration constant reflecting the presence of a black hole in the bulk space, the term \( C/a^4 \) is usually called ‘dark radiation,’ and \( \kappa = 0, \pm 1 \) reflects the spatial curvature of the brane.

Several important cosmological scenarios arise as special cases of (1.2), including:

1. General Relativity \( (M = 0, \Lambda_b = 0) \),
2. The self-accelerating Dvali–Gabadadze–Porrati (DGP) brane \( [8] \) \( (\Lambda_b = 0, \sigma = 0) \),
3. The Randall–Sundrum (RS) model \( [9] \) \( (m = 0) \).

Indeed, action (1.1) can result in cosmological models which differ from GR either early on or at late times. The Randall–Sundrum model belongs to the former class whereas the DGP brane is a famous example of the latter category. Other interesting properties of models with late-time acceleration include phantom expansion [7], loitering [10] and cosmic mimicry [11], all of which shall be briefly discussed in this paper.

2. UNIFIED MODELS OF INFLATION AND DARK ENERGY

An intriguing question faced by cosmologists is why the universe accelerates twice: during inflation and again at the present epoch. The notion of quintessential inflation — attempting to unify early and late acceleration — was originally suggested in the context of GR by Peebles and Vilenkin [12]. The possibility that braneworld models could provide a more efficient realisation of this scenario was discussed in [13–15]. Note that \( m = 0 \) in the Randall–Sundrum model, so that (1.2) reduces to

\[ H^2 + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left( \frac{\rho + \rho^2}{2\sigma} \right) + \frac{\Lambda}{3} + \frac{C}{a^4}, \]  

(2.1)

where \( G = \epsilon\sigma/12\pi M^6 \), \( \Lambda = \Lambda_b/2 + \epsilon\sigma^2/3M^6 \). A scalar field evolving on the brane satisfies the usual equation

\[ \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V'(\phi) = 0, \]  

(2.2)

where \( H \) is given by (2.1), and the energy density and pressure of the scalar field are, respectively,

\[ \rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi), \quad P_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi). \]  

(2.3)
If \( \sigma > 0 \), then the new term \( \rho^2/2\sigma \) in (2.1) increases the damping experienced by the scalar field as it rolls down its potential, making the inflationary condition \( P \simeq -\rho \) easier to achieve.

Consequently, inflation can be driven by steep potentials, such as \( V \propto \phi^{-\alpha}, \alpha > 1 \), which are usually associated with dark energy (DE) [13]. Thus the class of potentials giving rise to inflation increases and the possibility of realising inflation becomes easier in brane cosmology [13–15]. Brane inflation leaves behind an imprint on the cosmological gravity-wave background by increasing its amplitude and creating a distinct ‘blue tilt’ in its spectrum, thereby permitting verification through future LISA-type searches [14].

3. CYCLIC COSMOLOGY ON THE BRANE

If, in the Randall–Sundrum model, the extra dimension is time-like, then the big-bang singularity is completely absent! To see this, consider equation (1.2), this time with \( \epsilon = -1 \). The resulting braneworld dynamics is described by [16]

\[
H^2 + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left( \rho - \frac{\rho^2}{2|\sigma|} \right),
\]

where we have ignored the contribution from \( \Lambda \) and dark radiation. Since the ‘+’ sign within the bracket in (1.2) is replaced by a ‘−’ sign, this braneworld model can be regarded as dual to the RS model [17]. Consequently, \( H = 0 \) when \( \rho_{\text{bounce}} = 2|\sigma| \), i.e., the universe bounces when the density of matter has reached a sufficiently large value. Note that the singularity-free nature of the early universe is generic and does not depend upon whether or not matter violates the energy conditions [18].

This scenario has also been used to construct cyclic models of the universe [19–21]. For instance, in [19] dark energy is postulated to be a phantom having \( w < -1 \). Consequently, its energy density grows as the universe expands, \( \rho_{\text{ph}} \propto a^{-3(1+w)} \), while the density of normal matter/radiation decreases. Since \( \rho \) grows at small as well as large values of the expansion factor, the Hubble parameter passes through zero twice: (i) at early times when the bounce in (3.1) is caused by the large radiation density and (ii) during late times, when the large value of the phantom density leads to \( H = 0 \) in (3.1) and initiates the universe’s recollapse. The universe can also recollapse if it is spatially closed or if dark energy falls to negative values, as in the case of DE with a cosine potential [22]. In the braneworld context, such models will also be ‘cyclic’ in the sense that they will pass through an infinite number of nonsingular expanding-contracting epochs [20, 21].
4. PHANTOM BRANE

The previous examples showed how brane cosmology could differ from that in GR at early times. We now demonstrate that the same can happen at late times.

The cosmological equation (1.2) can be expressed in the following way in a spatially flat braneworld [7]:

\[ H^2(a) = \frac{A}{a^3} + \Lambda_{\text{eff}}, \quad (4.1) \]

\[ \Lambda_{\text{eff}} = \left( B + \frac{2}{\ell^2} \right) \pm \frac{2}{\ell^2} \sqrt{1 + \ell^2 \left( \frac{A}{a^3} + B - \frac{\Lambda_b}{6} - \frac{C}{a^4} \right)}, \quad (4.2) \]

where

\[ A = \frac{\rho_0 a_0^3}{3m^2}, \quad B = \frac{\sigma}{3m^2}, \quad \ell = \frac{2m^2}{M^3}. \quad (4.3) \]

The two branches of solutions, designated by the ± sign in (4.2), correspond to two possible ways of embedding the brane in the bulk space [5, 7, 23].

Consider the branch with the ‘−’ sign, which we allude to as Brane 1. Since, in this case, the second term in (4.2) decreases with time, the value of the effective cosmological constant \( \Lambda_{\text{eff}} \) increases [7, 24]. Therefore, the braneworld expansion proceeds as that of a universe which is described by general relativity and filled with phantom (\( w_{\text{eff}} < -1 \)), but, unlike phantom, matter on the brane does not violate the weak energy condition \( \rho + P \geq 0 \). From (4.2) it is also clear that the universe evolves to \( \Lambda \text{CDM} \) in the future and does not encounter a \textit{Big Rip} singularity peculiar to phantom DE [25]. The fact that the braneworld model (4.1), (4.2) can give rise to phantom-like
behaviour can also be seen if we rewrite it in terms of the cosmological redshift $z$, neglecting the dark-radiation term $C/a^4$, so that [7, 10]

\[ \frac{H^2(z)}{H_0^2} = \Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\sigma + 2\Omega_\ell \pm 2\sqrt{\Omega_\ell} \sqrt{\Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\sigma + \Omega_\ell + \Omega_\Lambda_b}, \quad (4.4) \]

where

\[ \Omega_m = \frac{\rho_0}{3m^2H_0^2}, \quad \Omega_\sigma = \frac{\sigma}{3m^2H_0^2}, \quad \Omega_\ell = \frac{1}{\ell^2H_0^2}, \quad \Omega_\Lambda_b = -\frac{\Lambda_b}{6H_0^2}. \quad (4.5) \]

The current value of the effective equation of state is given by [7, 10]

\[ w_{\text{eff}} = \frac{2q_0 - 1}{3(1 - \Omega_m)} = -1 \pm \frac{\Omega_m}{1 - \Omega_m} \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_\ell}{\sqrt{1 + \Omega_\Lambda_b} + \sqrt{\Omega_\ell}}}, \quad (4.6) \]

from which we see that $w_{\text{eff}} \leq -1$ for Brane 1, described by the lower sign option in (4.6). (The second choice of embedding, Brane 2, gives $w_{\text{eff}} \geq -1$.) It is important to note that all Brane 1 models have $w_{\text{eff}} \leq -1$ and $w(z) \simeq -0.5$ at $z \gg 1$ and successfully cross the ‘phantom divide’ at $w = -1$ [26, 27].

Note that the DE equation of state in modified gravity models is notional and not physical [3] and for this reason the statefinder and the Om diagnostic [28, 29] provide a more comprehensive picture of cosmic acceleration in such models.

5. THE DGP MODEL

A very interesting braneworld model, suggested by Dvali, Gabadadze and Poratti [8, 23, 30], is based on action (1.1) with $\Lambda_b = 0$, $\sigma = 0$. The spatially flat self-accelerating DGP brane without dark radiation is described by

\[ H = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G \rho_m}{3} + \frac{1}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{\ell}}. \quad (5.1) \]

In this case, the universe accelerates because gravity becomes five-dimensional on length scales $R > \ell = 2H_0^{-1}(1 - \Omega_m)^{-1}$. Comparing (5.1) with the corresponding expression for LCDM

\[ H = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G \rho_m}{3} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}}, \quad (5.2) \]

we find that the cosmological constant $\Lambda$ is replaced by a new fundamental constant $M$ in the DGP model. However, unlike $\Lambda$, the value of $M$ is not unnaturally small. Indeed, $M \sim 10\text{MeV}$ can give rise to a universe which accelerates today with $\Omega_m \simeq 0.3$ [8, 23, 30].
While providing an interesting alternative to dark energy, the DGP model does not agree with observations as well as LCDM [26, 31, 32]. But the biggest stumbling block for this model appears to be theoretical and has to do with the existence of a ghost on the self-accelerating branch of solutions, which poses grave difficulties for the DGP gravity; see [33] and references therein.

6. QUIESCENT COSMOLOGICAL SINGULARITIES

A new feature of brane cosmology described by (4.4) is the presence of singularities at which the density, pressure and Hubble parameter remain finite while the deceleration parameter diverges [34]. Then these quiescent singularities arise when the inequality

$$\Omega_\sigma + \Omega_\ell + \Omega_{Ab} \equiv \left( \sqrt{1 + \Omega_{Ab}} \mp \sqrt{\Omega_\ell} \right)^2 - \Omega_m < 0,$$

is satisfied, in which case the expression under the square root of (4.4) becomes zero at a suitably late time and the cosmological solution cannot be extended beyond this point. (The quiescent singularity is the result of a singular embedding of the brane in the bulk [34].)

The limiting redshift, $z_s = a_0/a(z_s) - 1$, at which the braneworld becomes singular is given by

$$z_s = \left[ 1 - \frac{\left( \sqrt{1 + \Omega_{Ab}} \mp \sqrt{\Omega_\ell} \right)^2}{\Omega_m} \right]^{1/3} - 1.$$

and one easily finds that, while the Hubble parameter remains finite,

$$\frac{H^2(z_s)}{H_0^2} = \Omega_\ell - \Omega_{Ab},$$

the deceleration parameter becomes singular as $z_s$ is approached. The difference between the relatively mild quiescent singularities and the more spectacular Big Rip singularities of phantom cosmology [25] should be noted. The latter are much more violent since the density, pressure and all derivatives of the Hubble parameter diverge at the Big Rip; see also [35].

7. TRANSIENT ACCELERATION ON THE BRANE

Setting $\Omega_\sigma = -2\sqrt{\Omega_\ell \Omega_{Ab}}$ in (4.4) leads to transient acceleration: the current acceleration of the universe is a transient phenomenon sandwiched between two matter-dominated regimes [7].
8. COSMIC MIMICRY

The braneworld model in (4.4) has yet another remarkable property. For large values of the brane tension \( \Omega_\sigma \) and the (bulk) cosmological constant \( \Omega_{\Lambda_b} \), and at redshifts lower than the mimicry redshift

\[
(1 + z_m)^3 = \frac{\Omega_m (1 + \Omega_{\Lambda_b})}{(\Omega_{m}^{\text{LCDM}})^2},
\]

the expansion rate (4.4) on the brane reduces to that in LCDM [11]

\[
\frac{H^2(z)}{H_0^2} \simeq \Omega_m^{\text{LCDM}} (1 + z)^3 + 1 - \Omega_{m}^{\text{LCDM}},
\]

where

\[
\Omega_m^{\text{LCDM}} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \Omega_m, \quad \alpha = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \Omega_{\Lambda_b}}}{\sqrt{\Omega_\sigma}}.
\]

\[(8.1)\]
\[(8.2)\]
\[(8.3)\]

FIG. 2: The left panel illustrates cosmic mimicry for the Brane 1 model. The Hubble parameter in three high-density Brane 1 models with \( \Omega_m = 1 \) is shown. Also shown is the Hubble parameter in the LCDM model (red dotted line) which closely mimics this braneworld but has a lower mass density \( \Omega_m^{\text{LCDM}} = 0.3 \) (\( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7 \)). The right panel illustrates cosmic mimicry for the Brane 2 model. Figure courtesy of [11].

This property is dubbed cosmic mimicry for the following reasons:

- A Brane 1 model, which at high redshifts expands with density parameter \( \Omega_m \), at lower redshifts masquerades as a LCDM universe with a smaller value of the density parameter. In other words, at low redshifts, the Brane 1 universe expands as the LCDM model (8.2) with \( \Omega_m^{\text{LCDM}} < \Omega_m \) [where \( \Omega_m^{\text{LCDM}} \) is determined by (8.3) with the lower (“−”) sign].
A Brane2 model at low redshifts also masquerades as LCDM but with a larger value of the density parameter. In this case, $\Omega_m^{\text{LCDM}} > \Omega_m$ with $\Omega_m^{\text{LCDM}}$ being determined by (8.3) with the upper (“−”) sign.

Cosmic mimicry is illustrated in figure 2.

9. LOITERING BRANEWORLD

![Graphs showing Hubble parameter and ages of loitering models.](image)

FIG. 3: Left: Hubble parameter, with respect to LCDM, for three universes, all loitering at $z_{\text{loit}} \approx 18$. Right: Ages of these loitering models relative to the age of LCDM. The age increase arises because $t(z) = \int_z^\infty \frac{dz'}{(1+z')H(z')}$; a lower value of $H(z)$ clearly boosts the age of the universe. Figure courtesy of [10].

An interesting aspect of the Braneworld models (4.1), (4.2) is that they can loiter [10, 36]. Loitering is characterized by the fact that the Hubble parameter dips in value over a narrow redshift range referred to as the ‘loitering epoch’ [37]. In the model under consideration, it can occur even in a spatially flat or open universe and is ensured by the presence of the dark-radiation term $C/a^4$ in (4.2). During loitering, density perturbations are expected to grow rapidly and, since the expansion of the universe slows down, its age increases [10, 37]. An epoch of loitering may, therefore, be expected to boost the formation of high-redshift gravitationally bound systems including black holes and/or Population III stars; see figure 3.


[18] An equation similar to (3.1) has been derived in the context of loop quantum cosmology in A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski and P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D 74, 084003 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0607039]. The possibility of a correspondence between loop-inspired and brane cosmology has been suggested in E. J. Copeland, J. E. Lidsey and S. Mizuno, Phys. Rev. D 73, 043503 (2006) [gr-qc/0510022].


